



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/456,576	06/09/2003	Lawrence G. Anderson	03626.0019-01	9618
	7590 11/29/2004		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP		LU, C CAIXIA		
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 200050 IPE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
	MAR 2 2	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004		
	ENT & TRA	DEMARK		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECENCO

DEC 0 1 7004

FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT AND DUNNER, LLP

Docketed 12.01.04 Attorney TLI) MDS/CCH

Case 03626.0019-01

Due Date 02.29.05

Action Response due

By ms

AD .

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/456,576	ANDERSON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Caixia Lu	1713			
The MAIL Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time m. after SIX (6) MONTH  - If the period for reply  - If NO period for reply  - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. as you available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 S from the mailing date of this communication. specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing djustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on	<u>-</u> ·				
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in a	ccordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clair	ns					
4) Claim(s) 1	<u>50 and 190-256</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	⊠ Claim(s) <u>150 and 190-256</u> is/are rejected.					
	is/are objected to.					
8)[_] Claim(s) _	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specific	cation is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or	declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/09/03</u> .						

Application/Control Number: 10/456,576

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 150 and 190-256 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 150, line 13, the use of the term "one material" is indefinite since it not clear whether "one material" refers to component (a) or (a) of the instant claim.

Claim 191 is not further limiting and, thus, should be canceled.

In at least claims 190, 200, 207, 214, 219, 223, 224, the selective formats of various groups are improper in that it is not clear whether the individual members in the group are selected in alternatives only or in both alternatives and combinations. In general, when the members of in the group are individually chosen as alternatives, the format, "selected from A, B,..., or X" or "selected from the group consisting of A, B,..., and X", should be used; and when the members in the group are chosen both in alternatives and combinations, the format "selected from the group consisting of A, B,..., X, and mixtures thereof" should be used. See MPEP 2173.05 (h). Applicants are requested to amend the selective formats for all of the claims according to the above guidance.

Claim 195, lines 14-16, symbols "Ra" and "R3" are ill formatted and proper corrections are requested.

5/

00

Jue

Art Unit: 1713

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. All of the pending claims would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The instant application is a divisional application of application SN 09/629,420. Since the instant claims share the same scope of novelty as the claims of the parent application, thus, for the same reason as stated in the parent application which is repeated as the following, the instant claims are deemed to be novel.

"The working Examples 1-9, and 11 of Ohsugi et al. (US 5,066,720) teaches a curable coating composition comprising a hydroxyl functional group containing siloxane, an aminoplast curing agent such as melamine-formaldehyde resin, a titanium dioxide filler, and hydroxyl containing acrylic resin. While the cited prior art seems to generally to have similar components of the siloxane composition as that of the instant claims, the structures of those components are not identical to those of the working examples of the instant applications. Thus, one would not have expected that the siloxane compositions of the cited prior art when cured have scratch resistance value such that after scratch testing greater than 40 % of the initial 20° gloss is retained as the siloxane composition of the instant claims. Therefore, the instant claims are deemed to be novel."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The

Application/Control Number: 10/456,576

Art Unit: 1713

fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner November 24, 2004